

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/611,468	Applicant(s) STRUNK	
	Examiner Katherine W. Mitchell	Art Unit 3677	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Katherine W. Mitchell. (3)_____.
- (2) Kevin Farrell. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all independent - 1, 11, 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5749670, 4884929, 3076373, 2724303.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called to discuss that claims read on polymer coated fasteners and countersunk fasteners with plugs covering countersink. Suggested adding after "Directly to said impact surface" in line 4, claim 1, and 22 -- and after "Directly to said impact surface of the head" in line 4, claim 11: -- prior to said fastener being driven, said filler material not extending past the fastener head--, Mr. Farrell agreed and requested examiner's amendment. A follow-up discussion to add "non-threaded" was accepted, and examiner agreed to clarify that non-threaded fasteners would include ribbed nails, such as those used for plaster or roofing..